

REPORT TO: LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE 25th April 2018
REPORT BY: LICENSING MANAGER
REPORT AUTHOR: DEREK STONE

Licensing Act 2003 - Review Application - Seven Days, 37 Osborne Road, Southsea, PO5 3LR

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is for the committee to consider and determine a review application pursuant to section 52 of the Licensing Act 2003 ("the Act") and in respect of the following premises:

Seven Days, 37 Osborne Road, Southsea, PO5 3LR.

The holder of the authorisation is recorded as Miss Indrani Alekhya Midde.

2. THE REVIEW APPLICANT

The application and grounds for the review are attached as **Appendix A** and has been submitted by Tracey Greaves Trading Standard Officer for Portsmouth City Council and relates to the licensing objective:

- Protection of children from harm

This follows two failed test purchases at the venue where alcohol sales have been made to persons under the age of eighteen and repeated breaches of the premises licence conditions.

3. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In accordance with the Act and prescribed regulations, public notice of the review application was given both at the premises and also at the Civic Offices. In addition, a notice of the review application was posted on the council website and also served on the responsible authorities.

A copy of the current authorisation is attached as **Appendix B**

Ms Midde became the owner and premises licence holder of 37 Osborne Road, Southsea in July 2015. The premises were trading as Osborne Wines by Mr Bipin Lodhia prior to this transfer. On the 14th January 2015, a licensing sub-committee hearing was held following a review instigated by the police due to failures at these premises with regard to breaches of the premises licence conditions and the premises licence holder and DPS failing to engage with the police.

Attached at Appendix C is the Sub-Committee bundle for this review and the decision notice. This is included with this current review to allow members to see why certain conditions were placed on the premises licence which have been breached by Ms Midde. I must stress however that Ms Midde had no association with the premises when the licence was held by Mr Lodhia. In August 2015 Ms Midde became the

designated premises supervisor for the venue. The premises name changed to Seven Days late December 2016. On the 6th April 2017 the DPS was changed to Simon Bennett

Officer note: Your reporting officer is waiting to interview Ms Midde in relation to breaches of the premises licence conditions on the 8th and 9th March 2018.

4. REPRESENTATIONS BY RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITIES AND OTHER PERSONS

As a result of the review being submitted, further representations have been received from PC Rackham on behalf of the Chief Officer of Police on the grounds of the prevention of crime and disorder and the protection of children from harm. Mr Rob Anderson-Weaver on behalf of the Director for Public Health makes a representation on the same grounds as the police. Nickii Humphreys Licensing Manager has submitted a representation on the grounds of the prevention of crime and disorder and for the protection of children from harm. In her representation she has detailed the engagement that responsible authorities have had with this venue and the repeated failures to comply with the premises licence conditions. One representation has been received from a local resident Mr Lyon-Wilson in support of how the premises operate.

These representations are attached at **Appendix D.**

5. POLICY AND STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

When determining the review application, the committee must have regard to:

- Promotion of the licensing objectives which are;
 - Prevention of crime and disorder
 - Public safety
 - Prevention of public nuisance
 - Protection of children from harm
- The Licensing Act 2003;
- The adopted Statement of Licensing Policy;
- Judgements of the High Court, (your legal adviser will give you guidance should this become necessary);
- The current statutory guidance issued by the Home Secretary in accordance with section 182 of the Act; and
- The representations, including supporting information, presented by all the parties.

The Statutory Guidance provides advice in relation to the consideration of review applications. In particular, members should have regard to the following advice:

Paragraph 11.1 - "The proceedings set out in the 2003 Act for reviewing premises licences and club premises certificates represent a key protection for the

community where problems associated with the licensing objectives occur after the grant or variation of a premises licence or club premises certificate."

Paragraph 11.2 - *"At any stage, following the grant of a premises licence or club premises certificate, a responsible authority, or any other person, may ask the licensing authority to review the licence or certificate because of a matter arising at the premises in connection with any of the four licensing objectives."*

Paragraph 11.10 - *"Where authorised persons and responsible authorities have concerns about problems identified at premises, it is good practice for them to give licence holders early warning of their concerns and the need for improvement, and where possible they should advise the licence or certificate holder of the steps they need to take to address those concerns. A failure by the holder to respond to such warnings is expected to lead to a decision to apply for a review. Co-operation at a local level in promoting the licensing objectives should be encouraged and reviews should not be used to undermine this co-operation."*

Paragraph 11.16 - *"The 2003 Act provides a range of powers for the licensing authority which it may exercise on determining a review where it considers them appropriate for the promotion of the licensing objectives."*

In reaching a decision upon a review application, the guidance offers assistance to the licensing authority as follows:

Paragraph 11.17 - *"The licensing authority may decide that the review does not require it to take any further steps appropriate to promote the licensing objectives. In addition, there is nothing to prevent a licensing authority issuing an informal warning to the licence holder and/or to recommend improvement within a particular period of time. It is expected that licensing authorities will regard such informal warnings as an important mechanism for ensuring that the licensing objectives are effectively promoted and that warnings should be issued in writing to the licence holder."*

Paragraph 11.18 - *"However, where responsible authorities such as the police or environmental health officers have already issued warnings requiring improvement – either orally or in writing – that have failed as part of their own stepped approach to address concerns, licensing authorities should not merely repeat that approach and should take this into account when considering what further action is appropriate. Similarly, licensing authorities may take into account any civil immigration penalties which a licence holder has been required to pay for employing an illegal worker."*

Paragraph 11.19 - *"Where the licensing authority considers that action under its statutory powers is appropriate, it may take any of the following steps:*

- modify the conditions of the premises licence (which includes adding new conditions or any alteration or omission of an existing condition), for example, by reducing the hours of opening or by requiring door supervisors at particular times;*
- exclude a licensable activity from the scope of the licence, for example, to exclude the performance of live music or playing of recorded music (where it is not within the incidental live and recorded music exemption);*
- remove the designated premises supervisor, for example, because they consider that the problems are the result of poor management;*

- *suspend the licence for a period not exceeding three months;*
- *revoke the licence."*

Paragraph 11.20 - *"In deciding which of these powers to invoke, it is expected that licensing authorities should so far as possible seek to establish the cause or causes of the concerns that the representations identify. The remedial action taken should generally be directed at these causes and should always be no more than an appropriate and proportionate response to address the causes of concern that instigated the review."*

Paragraph 11.21 - *"For example, licensing authorities should be alive to the possibility that the removal and replacement of the designated premises supervisor may be sufficient to remedy a problem where the cause of the identified problem directly relates to poor management decisions made by that individual."*

Paragraph 11.22 - *"Equally, it may emerge that poor management is a direct reflection of poor company practice or policy and the mere removal of the designated premises supervisor may be an inadequate response to the problems presented. Indeed, where subsequent review hearings are generated by representations, it should be rare merely to remove a succession of designated premises supervisors as this would be a clear indication of deeper problems that impact upon the licensing objectives."*

Paragraph 11.23 - *"Licensing authorities should also note that modifications of conditions and exclusions of licensable activities may be imposed either permanently or for a temporary period of up to three months. Temporary changes or suspension of the licence for up to three months could impact on the business holding the licence financially and would only be expected to be pursued as an appropriate means of promoting the licensing objectives or preventing illegal working. So, for instance, a licence could be suspended for a weekend as a means of deterring the holder from allowing the problems that gave rise to the review to happen again."*

However, it will always be important that any detrimental financial impact that may result from a licensing authority's decision is appropriate and proportionate to the promotion of the licensing objectives and for the prevention of illegal working in licensed premises. But where premises are found to be trading irresponsibly, the licensing authority should not hesitate, where appropriate to do so, to take tough action to tackle the problems at the premises and, where other measures are deemed insufficient, to revoke the licence."

A copy of the Statement of Licensing Policy, current statutory guidance and the Act has been supplied to each of the Members' Rooms and further copies will be available for reference at the hearing.

6. APPEALS

An appeal against any punitive sanctions may be made within 21 days of the authorisation holder being notified of the licensing authority's decision to a Magistrates' court. An appeal may be made by:

- The applicant for the review;

- the holder of the premises licence or club premises authorisation; or
- any other person who made relevant representations in relation to the application.

The decision of the committee, following the review hearing, will not have effect until the end of the period allowed for appeal, or until any submitted appeal is disposed of.

7. APPENDICES

- A.** Copy of the redacted review application together with supporting documents
- B.** Copy of the current authorisation
- C.** Copy of review hearing papers held on 14th January 2015, Decision Notice and proposed police conditions
- D.** Copy of representations from Police, Public Health and Licensing Authority together with supporting representation from local resident
- E.** Email exchange from Booker re alcohol supplied to premises
- F.** Statement and pictures from Ms Midde to committee

THE COMMITTEE IS REQUESTED TO DETERMINE THE REVIEW APPLICATION



For Licensing Manager
And on behalf of Head of Service